# **Comparing dda-PASEF and prm-PASEF approaches for the quantification of 2000 RAS induced Phosphopeptides**



#### Elizabeth Gordon<sup>1</sup>, Matthew Willetts<sup>1,</sup> Diego Assis<sup>1,</sup> Rodrigo M Ferriera<sup>2,3</sup>, Uma K Aryal <sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bruker Daltonics Inc., Billerica, USA <sup>2</sup>Department of Comparative Pathobiology, Purdue University, West LafayetteMax <sup>3</sup><sub>3</sub>Purdue Proteomics Facility, Bindley Bioscience Center, West Lafayette, IN

## Introduction

Targeted proteomics methods are a traditional choice for protein quantitation of proteins in complex cell samples. Senescence is a tumor suppressive mechanism of cells and acts as a primary layer of protection against the development of cancer. Senescent cells, that lose the ability to divide, are also the underlying mechanism of aging. Therefore, understanding molecular factors and biological processes of cellular senescence provides important insights into the intrinsic cellular mechanisms for cancer prevention, and organismal aging. Herein, we have used dda-PASEF and prm-PASEF for the quantification of RAS induced phospho-peptides. Our analysis is added by the ability of the trapped ion mobility to give greater separation to phospho position specific isomers.

#### Results

To investigate the speed and sensitivity of the dda PASEF method for shotgun phospho protoemics, we first analyzed a complex peptide mixture that was enriched for Phosphorylated peptides with 300ng and 450ng on column.

Proteins

Before ER Ras induction

Native Proteins



#### Methods

Human diploid fibroblast strain IMR90 (CCL-186; ATCC, USA) cells were transduced with ER:RAS lentivirus and treated with 100 nM of (Z)-4-Hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) for ER:RAS activation and induction of oncogene induced senescence (OIS). Control cells were treated with MeOH. Cells were harvested after 6 days of 4-OHT activation for nuclear extraction, enrichment trypsinization, and Of phosphopeptides using Polymer-based Metalion Affinity Capture (PolyMAC) spin tips. Pre and post enrichment samples were both run on a nanoElute LC (Bruker Daltonics) using an Aurora nano column (25 cm x 75 µm ID, C18 -IonOpticks, Australia) at 400 nl/min with a 70 min gradient 80min run time, and a longer 120min gradient for global samples. LC-TIMS MS/MS data were obtained from a timsTOF Pro instrument operated in DDA- PASEF mode. Data analyzed using PEAKS OnLine were (Bioinformatics Solutions). PRM PASEF data was analyzed with Skyline.

#### **Figure 1:** Global proteome analysis : Number of Proteins and peptide and proteins identified in ER RAS induced cells



Post ER RAS induction





**Figure 3 and Table 1: Reproducible and accurate quantification** A) LFQ intensities of ER Ras induced Phosphorylated Peptides



## **Samples**





**Figure 2:** Serine Threonine and Tyrosine % in PolyMac enriched Peptides





 High depth of phospho proteome coverage even with low sample amounts

BRÚKER

- High reproducibility in identification and label free quantification
- PASEF provides accurate label free quantification over a high dynamic range and high depth of proteome coverage
- Phospho enriched IMR90 control cells had 1,789 phospho proteins
- ER Ras induced treated cells had a greater number of protein groups at 3,035 when compared to the control treated group
- 1,890 of these protein groups overlapped between the treated and control samples
- Using Label free quantification in DDA 2,391 phospho-peptides were able to be compared across the two groups and 2000 had reproducible area.
- 243 most significantly differentiated Phospho Peptides between the Control and 4-OHT treated cells were acquired in a targeted PRM approach
- This allowed for an impressive 234 to be identified and quantified in both non phospho enriched sample and enriched samples.





**Figure 2a** DDA LFQ Results 3,984 Phospho-peptides were quantified in at least 2 of the 3 replicates Ras had a 13-fold induction and 20-fold for TP53B

PolyMAC	Rep1	14276	8601	4533	1587
	Rep2	13079	7095	4192	1485
	Rep3	17153	9479	4703	1720
	Phosphorylated		8246		1789
Control	All (3 samples)	4020	2346	1324	658
PolyMac	Rep 1	3087	2230	1252	609
	Rep2	603	394	340	156
	Rep3	330	226	283	99
	Phosphorylated		856		339

Figure 4 PRM Quantitation Table 2 dda PASEF LFQ  timsTOF ion mobility allows for many phospho peptides to be both identified and quantified in DDA and PRM.



For research use only. Not for use in diagnostic procedures.